By BENJAMIN WELLES

Special to The New York Times Intelligence. Agency.

The controls, which thus far have attracted little public attention, are the first to he!

Senator Case urged on July 12 a tightening of restrictions

Defense Department, can, for example, be switched legally to

ing Case, Republican of New eJrnes of Idaho, and Stuart Symington, Democrat of Missouri.

They are members of the and Appropriations committees transfers in the category of Foreign Relations Committee. plus four members of the Foreign milinary aid appropriatiot. The WASHINGTON, Feb. 12—The Together with the committee. Together with the committee's Chairman, J. W. Fulbright, Democrat of Arkansas, they have protested increasingly in recent months that Congress and personnel of the Central Intelligence Agency.

e have attracted little public attention, are the first to be added since Congress creaoted the agency through the National Security Act of 1947, a measure that wsa amended in 1949.

This act exempts the CIA from most fiscal and personnel controls imposed on other federal agencies. Funds, personnel and material voted by Congress to other agencies, such as the The proposed restrictions, he

The proposed restrictions, he said "would precent the cirexample, be switched legally to the C.I.A. the Controls were inserted at rs, various points in this year's right bill largely through the efforts of Senators Clifford P. ing Case, Republican of New eJr-

Republican of New eJr.

Frank Church, Democrat daho, and Stuart Syming-Democrat of Missouri.

"It would also," he said military missions and will also talligence. provided he limit the size of the United Sattes mission now in Cambandary Country C troops or advisers in Cambodia s341-million ceiling on aid to Congressional experts could be skirted by using C.I.A. Cambodia and placing its arms out.

Stennis Their Irritant

The ire of the committee members is reported to be less against the CIA lifety than against Senator John C. Stennis, Democrat, of Mississippi, Chairman of the Armed Services Committee and of the socalled "Oversight" Committee for the agency. The Oversight Committee comprises senior comprises senior t. members of the Armed Services

supposed to watch over all the houses filled with arms at variagency's activities.

Under Senator Stennis's differencion, however, it did not meet at all in 1971—to the mover of Senators from the foreign Relations. Committee, 4,800 Thai "volunteers" in who contend that C.I.A. activities around the world intimately and sometimes decisively afforces. Also, the agency could fact the conduct of United be specifically placed under

pass Senator Stennis and to Asia.
gain some control over the Submission of agency's funds, personnel and reports to Congress on Camactivities by writing controls bodia and annual reports or

Specifically, according to sources say, it will probably legislative specialists, the new not be specifically identified.

eign Relations Committee. It is C.I.A. is said to have waresupposed to watch over all the houses filled with arms at vari-

feet the conduct of United be specifically placed under States foreign policy. existing restrictions on giving They have now moved to by- arms to forces in Southeast

into the aid bill. Some Congres-foreign aid. C.I.A. assistance sional sources say, however, will be included in the totals that there are still loopholes. although, some Congressional

controls will require the following atcions:

A reduction in military personnel working for the agency in activities similar to military assistance advisory groups and military missions and will also telligence.

The National Security Coun cil, to which Mr. Helms re Inclusion of agency in the ports, has the final decisior

Approved For Release 2002/01/10: CIA-RDP74B00415R000100110076-2

Senate Panel Supports Curbs On Presidential War Powers

By JOHN A. FINNEY

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Feb. 10 -The Senate Foreign Relations committee contended today that proposed legislation defining th war powers of the President represented a needed and useful step toward restoring the constitutional balance between Congress and the executive branch.

The committee began setting l the stage for a constitutional debate by issuing a favorable report on a war powers bill to he considered by the Senate in the next few weeks.

The legislation would provide that in the absence of a Congressional declaration of war, the President could not use the armed forces except in certain specified emergencies, such as an attack upon the United Stat or its forces or an imminent threat of attack, or to protect American citizens endangered in a foreign country.

Even in such emergencies the President could not continue hostilities for more than 30 days without obtaining Congressional

aapproval.

Wide-Ranging Support

The legislation has wide-ranging support, with the co-sponsorship of such Senators as Jacob K. Javits, Republican of New York; John Stennis, Democrat of Mississippi; Thom-as F. Fagleton, Democrat of Misas F Fagleton, Democrat of Missouri: William B. Spong Jr., Democrat of Virginia; Robert Taft Ir., Republican of Ohlo, and Lloyd M. Bentsen, Democrat of Tevas of Texas.

In the Senate, the bill seems likely to be opposed by some conservatives as well as by the

Administration.

In a preview of the arguments ahead, Senator Barry Goldwater, Republican of Arizona, issued a statement contending that "183 years of experience under the Constitution has firmly established the principle that the Braddon's ciple that the President, as Commander in Chief and the primary author of foreign policy, has both a duty and a right to the primary at the primary at the policy to the primary at the pr to take military action at any time he feels danger for the country or its freedoms."

Any legislation, such as the war powers bill, which would restrict his flexibility in these situtions, is clearly unconstitu-tional," Senator Goldwater said.

The Goldwater views correspond generally with those of the Nixon Administration, which has objected that the proposed legislation would limit the President's flexibility in a nuclear age.

As if responding to this argument, the committee said in its report.

"No responsible citizen questions the right — or even the duty — of the President to take immediate action against a sudden attack, or immiment threat of attack, upon the United States or its armed forces.

What the committee does contest is that expansive view of executive prerogative which holds that the President may use the armed forces at will, even in conditions falling short of a genuine national emergency, and that he may susain that use for as long as 1e, and he alone, sees fit."

In "additional views." Sen-ator J. W. Fulbright, the com-mittee chairman, supported the general purpose of the legisla-tion but expressed concern that in defining the emergency situations in which the President might act the provisions of the bill "may have the unintended effect of giving away more power than they withhold"

Demurer by Fulbright

For example, he said, the provision authorizing the President to "forestall the direct and im-ment threat" of attack could be ment threat of attack could be used "to justify almost any conceivable foreign military iniative" and could be "construed as sanctioning a pre-emptive, or first strike, attack solely on the President's own judgment" the President's own judgment."

Senator Fulbright announced that when the bill was considered by the Senate, he would offer a substitute approach that would provide that the President could use armed forces only in "a national emergency of such a nature as does not permit advance Congressional authorization," and that he could not use nuclear weapons without explicit Congressional authorization.

Senator John Sherman Cooper, Republican of Ken-Sherman tucky, questioned whether Congress could impose a 30-day limit on the President's emergency use of the Armed Forces.

His suggestion was that in event the President committed forces to foreign hostilities, Congress immediately be noted. fled and proceed to consideration of the question of whether it would authorize such use of the armed forces.